

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

TUESDAY, THE 24TH JULY 2007 / 2ND SRAVANA 1929

RP.No. 671 of 2007(M)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.23852/2006 Dated 04/07/2007
.....

REVIEW PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN WPC NO.23852/06 :

MARGRET V.PARAKKAL, W/O.SURESH JOSE,
WORKING AS TEACHER, MARYMATHA ENGLISH MEDIUM
HIGH SCHOOL, THRIKKAKARA.

BY ADV. SRI.MATHEW ABRAHAM

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENT IN WPC NO.23852/06 :

LITTLE FLOWER KURIES (PVT) LTD.,
A COMPANY REGISTERED UNDER COMPANIES ACT,
1956 HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT
FORT KOCHI.

BY ADV. SRI.JIMMY JOHN.

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 24/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

R.P. No. 671 OF 2007

IN

W.P.(C) No.23852 OF 2006

Dated this the 24th day of July, 2007

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner. He confesses that the condition imposed by this Court while granting stay was not complied with by the petitioner. This Court is taking consistent view that parties who obtain interim relief from this court suffering condition are not entitled for final relief if they do not comply with the conditions. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is ready to pay today itself more amounts than what was ordered to be deposited by this court under its interim order. The above readiness of the petitioner cannot be a ground for review. I dismiss the Review Petition. However, I make it clear that nothing stated in the judgment or in this order will stand in the way of the petitioner moving the execution court with consent of the decree holder and the execution court passing appropriate orders on the motions of the petitioner.

Hand over copy to the counsel for the petitioner.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, JUDGE

btt

